**I. Prediction Model Evaluation Criteria**

After getting the sentimental scores, we want to test whether they can help to improve the model to predict the TESLA stock return. We used four feature sets in this step. The four feature sets are:

1. Base. Use last day volume and last day Nasdaq index return.
2. Vader. Add compound score to Base.
3. NB. Add positive, negative, neutral scores to Base.
4. All. All NB, Vader, Base features together.

In this project, we choose to use Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) as the criteria to evaluate the prediction. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) is the standard deviation of the residuals (prediction errors). Residuals are a measure of how far from the regression line data points are; RMSE is a measure of how spread out these residuals are. In other words, it tells how concentrated the data is around the line of best fit.

A picture containing text, clock

Description automatically generated

**II. Ridge Regression Model**

We split the who data set into 144 train samples and 48 test samples.

For the linear model, we select the Ridge model. To select the best alpha in the Ridge model, we used rolling validation to find the alpha which maximizes the RMSE in the validation set to be as alpha. Rolling validation can be illustrated in the following graph:
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For the time-series data, we must use rolling validation rather than cross-validation, which will cause looking ahead bias. In the graph above, we can see that we select a time window to train, and use another time window as validation. After this sample, we move to the start point of the training set forward and generate another sample. This is rolling validation.

For the ridge data, we find the graph for alpha is as below:
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Therefore, the best alpha to achieve a stable lowest RMSE is at 2.3357.

Use this best alpha and train model at training set, then test the result at test set, we get the RMSE of four feature sets as below:
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We can find that:

1. Vader achieves a lower RMSE than Base, so the compound score using the Vader method does help to improve stock return prediction for TESLA.
2. NB and All get higher RMSEs than Base, so the positive, negative, neutral scores using the Naïve Bayesian method don’t help to improve stock return prediction for TESLA.

**III. Random Forest Regressor Model**

We also applied a Random Forest Regressor Model to examine whether using Twitter Sentiment Analysis is helpful for the prediction of Tesla stock return. For the Random Forest Regressor, we decided to tune two parameters, which are “*max\_depth*” and “*n\_estimators*”. We tune the “*max\_depth*” because the “*max\_depth”* of a tree in Random Forest is defined as the longest path between the root node and the leaf node. Generally, as the max depth of the decision tree increases, the performance of the model over the training set increases continuously. On the other hand, as the “max\_depth” value increases, the performance over the test set increases initially but after a certain point, it starts to decrease rapidly. From the graph below, we are able to see that “*max\_depth*” should be 90 since we want to make the RMSE as small as possible.
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The second variable we tuned was the “*n\_estimators*” because This is the number of trees you want to build. Generally, a higher number of trees give a better performance. From the graph below, we are able to see that “*n\_estimators*” should be around 115 since we want to make the RMSE as small as possible.
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In the next step, we used the tuned parameters to train our model at the training dataset, then we tested the results using the testing dataset. We got the RMSE results of our four feature sets as below:
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By observing the RMSE results, we can see that:

1. Vader achieves a lower RMSE than Base, so the compound score using the Vader method does help to improve stock return prediction for TESLA.
2. NB and All get higher RMSEs than Base, so the positive, negative, neutral scores using the Naïve Bayesian method don’t help to improve stock return prediction for TESLA.

**VI. Model Selection**

Both Ridge Regression and Random Forest Regression have a comparatively low mean square error from the evaluation diagram. Comparatively, Ridge Regression has lower RMSE than the Random Forest Regression.